International Journal of Poultry Science 5 (12): 1144-1150, 2006 ISSN 1682-8356 © Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2006

Panchagavya and *Andrographis paniculata* as Alternatives to Antibiotic Growth Promoter on Broiler Production and Carcass Characteristics

R. Mathivanan, S.C. Edwin, R. Amutha and K. Viswanathan Department of Poultry Science, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal-637 001, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: A biological experiment was conducted to study the effect of panchagavya and *Andrographis paniculata* as alternatives to antibiotic growth promoter on broiler production and carcass characteristics with one hundred and eighty commercial, straight run day-old broiler chicks. The chicks were fed basal diet (T₁), basal diet with virginiamycin -20 mg/kg (T₂), basal diet with panchagavya -7.5 g/kg (T₃), basal diet with *A. paniculata* -2.0 g/kg (T₄), basal diet with probiotics -0.5 g/kg (T₅) and basal diet with mananoligosaccharide (MOS) - 2.0 g/kg from 1 to 28 days and 0.5 g/kg from 29 to 42 days growing period (T₆) for 6 weeks period. The result revealed that the mean body weight and weight gain of T₆, T₅ and T₃ groups were significantly (P<0.05) higher as compared to the body weight of T₂ and T₁ groups at 6 weeks of age. The feed consumption did not show any difference between treatment groups. The FCR was (P<0.05) better in T₄ (1.87) compared to T₁ and T₂ groups, which recorded inferior FCR (2.04 each) at 6 weeks of age. Livability did not differ between treatment groups from 1 to 6 weeks of age. The carcass characteristics, cut-up parts of carcass and sensory qualities of meat did not differ due to dietary supplements.

Key words: Panchagavya, Andrographis paniculata, antibiotic growth promoter, broilers

Introduction

Antimicrobials have been used as feed supplement for more than 50 years in poultry feed to enhance the growth performance and to prevent diseases in poultry. Most of the antibiotic growth promoters act by modifying the intestinal flora, which are associated with poor health and reduced performance of animals (Bedford, 2000). However, in recent years great concern has arisen about the use of antibiotics as supplement at sub therapeutic level in poultry feed due to emergence of multiple drug resistant bacteria (Wray and Davies, 2000).

The presence of undesired antibiotic residues in poultry products and environmental contamination has largely added to the public concern regarding the use of antibiotics in the feed. As a consequence to the above facts, European Union Scientific Steering Committee has banned majority of the antibiotics used as growth promoters in monogastric animal diet from June 1999 in European Union.

Antibiotics can be replaced by alternatives such as prebiotics, probiotics and botanicals. Mannanoligosaccharide (MOS) is a prebiotic, which increased beneficial organisms in gastrointestinal tract (Spring *et al.*, 2000), improved the immunity of the birds (Shashidhara and Devegowda, 2003) and improved body weight gain and feed conversion (Parks *et al.*, 2001). Similarly, probiotics also improved the production performance of poultry (Jin *et al.*, 2000). Both can be used as alternative to antibiotic growth promotants.

Recently, Council for Scientific Industrial Research (CSIR), India has obtained US patent (No.689690 / and

6410059) for cow urine distillate, which claimed a novel pharmaceutical composition (Khanuja, 2002), and is effective as an antimicrobial and antifungal. Panchagavya is one such formulation mentioned in Ayurveda, which is prepared with five components derived from cow viz. milk, curd, ghee, urine and dung. These formulations were claimed to be useful against liver disorders, fever and inflammations and has hepatoprotective effect in toxicity induced rats (Achliya *et al.*, 2003) and immunostimulant activity with herbs in rats (Fulzele *et al.*, 2003). However, much work has not been carried out with panchagavya on bird's performance.

Commercial additives of plant origin like herbs, spices and various plant extracts are considered to be natural products that consumers would have received an increased attention as possible antibiotic growth promoter replacement and improved broiler performance (Hernandez et al., 2004). Andrographis paniculata is having antimicrobial and growth promoting activity and hence may be used as alternative to antibiotics and tonic (Chopra et al., 1992). Tipakorn (2002) found that feeding of A. paniculata to broiler chickens improved feed conversion ratio, live weight, decreased mortality rate and suggested as an alternative to chlortetracycline in the broiler diet. Hence, the present study was carried out to evaluate the use of panchagavya and A. paniculata as alternatives in addition to known alternatives like prebiotics and probiotics to antibiotic growth promoter on broiler production performance.

Materials and Methods

Preparation and analysis of panchagavya: The panchagavya samples were prepared by using dung (5 parts), urine (3 parts), milk (2 parts), curd (2 parts) and ghee (1 part) obtained from indigenous cow along with other ingredients viz. sugarcane juice - 3 parts, tender coconut juice - 3 parts, ripened banana - 12 numbers and toddy - 2 parts as per the methods of Natarajan (2003). The fresh dung was thoroughly mixed with ghee in a wide mouth mud pot and kept for three days. The above mixture was thoroughly mixed once in daily. On the fourth day, other ingredients were added to the mud pot, mixed properly and covered with nylon net to prevent entry of flies into the pot. The pot was placed in shade and mixed thoroughly twice a day for 30 days.

Panchagavya samples were taken and analyzed for its chemical and microbial composition. The pH of the samples was assessed using digital pH meter. The total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) content of panchagavya was assessed by using micro-Kjeldahl apparatus. Identification and quantification of individual volatile fatty acids were performed by using gas chromatograph (Chemito 8610 HR, India.).

The panchagavya samples were serially diluted in 10 folds using sterile triple glass distilled water. The selective media used for microbial culture were MacConkey agar for coliforms and Salmonella, Kanamycin Aesculin Azide agar for Streptococci, Mannitol salt agar for Staphylococci, de Man Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) agar for Lactobacilli. The selective media for the microbial counts of coliforms, Streptococci and Staphylococci were incubated aerobically at 37°C for one day. The agar plates for the counts of Lactobacilli were incubated in aseptic anaerobic jars at 37°C for two days. Anaerobic environment was created in the jars using AnaeroGen (Himedia) sachets. The microbial numbers were expressed as log₁₀ colony forming units per gram (log₁₀ cfu/g) of sample (Smits et al., 1998).

Andrographis paniculata: The whole plants of *A. paniculata* (English: Creat) were collected from the local area after ascertaining their identity. The leaves of *A. paniculata* were collected and shade dried, powdered and kept ready for experimental use.

Biological experiment: One hundred and eighty commercial, straight run day-old broiler chicks belonging to a single hatch were purchased from a local hatchery, wing banded, weighed and randomly allotted into six treatment groups with three replicates of ten chicks each. The chicks were fed basal diet (T₁), basal diet with virginiamycin -20 mg/kg (T₂), basal diet with panchagavya -7.5 g/kg (T₃), basal diet with *A. paniculata* -2.0 g/kg (T₄), basal diet with probiotics (provilacc*) -0.5 g/kg (T₅) and basal diet with mannanoligosaccharide (MOS) - 2.0 g/kg from 1 to 28 days and 0.5 g/kg from 29

to 42 days growing period (T_6). The chicks were reared in broiler cages in a gable roofed, open sided house. All the chicks were provided with uniform floor, feeder and waterer space and were reared under standard management conditions throughout the experimental period of six weeks. The experimental diet was formulated according to the standards prescribed in Bureau of Indian Standards (B.I.S, 1992). The broiler starter and finisher diets were fed *adlibitum* to the birds from 1 to 28 and 29 to 42 days of age, respectively.

Collection of data: Data on body weight and feed consumption were recorded every week and mortality was recorded at occurrence. From the above data body weight gain, feed efficiency and livability were calculated. At the end of the experiment, one male and one female from each replicate, totally six birds per treatment were randomly picked up and slaughtered. The pre-slaughter weight, eviscerated carcass weight, giblets weight, ready-to-cook carcass weight and cut-up parts weight were recorded. The thigh and breast muscle samples were collected from each carcass and stored at -20°C for organoleptic study. The data collected on various parameters were subjected to statistical analysis as per the methods suggested by Snedecor and Cochran (1989). Angular transformation was applied to percentages wherever needed before carrying out statistical analysis.

Results

Analysis of panchagavya: The result revealed that the pH of the panchagavya sample was 4.52. The TVFA was 154.87 mmol/litre. The acetate, propionate and butyrate levels in panchagavya were 60.76, 15.66 and 6.40 per cent, respectively. The *Lactobacillus* count was 8.71 log₁₀ cfu/g. Coliforms, *Streptococci* and *Staphylococci* counts were not in detectable range.

Production performance: The body weight (Table 1) showed a significant difference from 2 to 6 weeks of age between treatment groups. The mean body weight of T_6 , T_5 and T_3 were 1911.33, 1901.40 and 1889.00 g, respectively, which were significantly (P<0.05) higher as compared to the body weight of T_2 (1766.80 g) and T_1 (1785.52 g) at 6 weeks of age. The group T_4 recorded 1875.07 g. Similarly, the body weight gain showed a significant difference between treatment groups from 1 to 6 weeks of age. The body weight gain was significantly (P<0.05) higher in T_6 , T_5 and T_3 , while T_2 recorded the lower body weight gain. *A. paniculata* fed group recorded the intermediate level of body weight gain of 1828.97 g at 6 weeks of age.

The cumulative feed consumption (Table 2) did not show significant difference between treatment groups. The mean cumulative feed consumption was 3427.30, 3506.63, 3511.73, 3555.47, 3555.97 and 3611.37 g/bird

*Each gram contained 5.85 billion Saccharomyces cerevisiae, 14.04 million Lactobacillus acidophilus, 2.34 million Lactobacillus sporogenes and 2.34 million Streptococcus faecium

Parameters	T ₁ - Basal diet	T2 - Basal diet +	T ₃ - Basal diet +	T₄-Basal diet +	T₅ - Basal diet +	T ₆ - Basal diet +	
		Virginiamycin	Panchagavya	A. paniculata	Probiotics	MOS	
A. Body weight	: (g)						
Day old chick	46.09±0.69	46.03±0.64	46.07±0.64	46.10±0.63	46.07±0.62	46.01±0.62	
I week	155.63±2.13	160.53±2.81	154.93±2.87	149.90±3.24	160.80±2.46	156.50±2.44	
II week	334.50 ^{bc} ±9.84	349.10 ^{ab} ±7.70	339.10 ^{ab} ±5.63	318.77°±7.68	343.13 ^{ab} ±6.11	352.87 ^a ±5.99	
III week	651.47 ^A ±17.73	630.60 ^{AB} ±15.60	630.43 ^{AB} ±12.27	588.83 ^B ±14.58	644.63 ^A ±12.06	661.67 ^A ±13.39	
IV week	1053.23 ^{abc} ±20.82	1019.57 ^{bc} ±24.96	1081.73°±21.11	1007.43°±21.75 1066.83 ^{ab} ±17.6 ^d		1079.16 ^a ±15.41	
V week	1407.62 ^{bc} ±29.13	1377.43°±33.77	1466.67 ^{ab} ± 28.30	1405.33 ^{bc} ±28.98	1466.13 ^{ab} ±25.38	1487.63ª±27.30	
VI week	1785.52 ^{bc} ±36.47	1766.80°±40.37	1889.00°±39.10	1875.07 ^{ab} ±38.88	1901.40 ^a ±32.32	1911.33ª ±33.81	
B. Body weight	: gain (g)						
I week	109.54 ^{ab} ±1.93	114.50 ^a ±2.52	108.86 ^{ab} ±2.56	103.80 ^b ±3.17	114.73 ^a ±2.29	110.49 ^a ±2.18	
II week	288.41 ^{ab} ±9.81	303.07°±7.56	293.03 ^a ±5.72	272.67 ^b ±7.72	297.06 ^a ±5.96	306.86°±5.86	
III week	605.38 ^A ±17.56	584.57 ^{AB} ±15.51	584.36 ^{AB} ±12.37	542.73 ^B ±14.63	598.56 ^A ±12.01	615.66 ^A ±13.19	
IV week	1007.14 ^{abc} ±20.66	973.54 ^{bc} ±24.86	1035.66°±21.16	961.33°±21.70	1020.76 ^{ab} ±17.66	1033.15 ^a ±15.38	
V week	1361.13 ^b ±28.97	1331.41 ^b ±33.60	1420.60 ^{ab} ±28.31	1359.23 ^b ±28.89	1420.06 ^{ab} ±25.34	1441.62 ^a ±27.16	
VI week	1739.33 ^{bc} ±36.33	1720.77°±40.16	1842.93°±39.13	1828.97 ^{ab} ±38.78	1855.33°±32.33	1865.32 ^a ±33.66	

Table 1: Mean (± S.E.) body weight (g) and weight gain (g) of broilers as influenced by dietary inclusion of dietary inclusion of different alternatives to antibiotic growth promoter

Value in each cell is the mean of 30 observations except T₂ at 5 and 6 weeks of age, which had only 29 observations

^{a-c}Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (P<0.05)

A, B Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (P<0.01)

in T₄, T₂, T₃, T₆, T₁ and T₅, respectively up to 6 weeks of age. The mean cumulative FCR significantly (P<0.05) differed between treatment groups at 5 and 6 week of age. The FCR was superior in T₄ (1.87), which did not differ from T₃ (1.90), T₆ (1.90), and T₅ (1.94) as compared to T₂ and T₁, which recorded a FCR of 2.04 at 6 weeks of age.

Livability was 100 per cent in all the treatment groups except in T_2 which recorded the livability 98.75 percent at 5 and 6 weeks of age and no significant difference was observed between treatment groups from 1 to 6 weeks of age.

Carcass characteristics: The carcass characteristics (Table 3) viz. pre-slaughter live weight, New York dressed carcass, eviscerated carcass and ready-to-cook carcass percentage to live weight did not significantly differ between treatment groups at 6 weeks of age. Similarly, there was no significant difference among the treatment groups in cut-up parts of carcass viz. breast, leg, back, wing, neck and giblets. The sensory qualities of meat like appearance, flavour, juiciness, tenderness and overall acceptability did not differ due to dietary supplements.

Discussion

Analysis of panchagavya: The pH of the panchagavya was 4.52 at 30 days of fermentation. The lowered pH may be due to *Lactobacillus* bacteria in panchagavya, which produced more organic acids during fermentation. This value is in agreement with the report of Somasundaram (2003) who recorded a mean pH of 5.45 at 15 days of fermentation in panchagavya. However, the observed value is slightly higher than the report of Subramaniam (2003) who recorded the pH of 6.37 in panchagavya. The difference in pH might be due

to variation in composition of ingredients and method of panchagavya preparation.

The mean TVFA level of panchagavya was from 154.87 mmol/litre. This might be due to availability of nutrients (Bhadauria, 2002) for the growth of microorganisms, which produced organic acids during fermentation (Dhama *et al.*, 2005) from the components of panchagavya.

The *Lactobacillus* count was 8.71 \log_{10} cfu/g at 30 days of fermentation and this might be due to the presence of curd and milk in panchagavya as a source of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Dhama *et al.*, 2005) which lower the pH and favour the growth of beneficial and inhibit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms (Ferd, 1974).

The coliforms, *Salmonella, Streptococci* and *Staphylococci* counts were not in the detectable range. This may be accomplished by lowered pH of panchagavya in later stage of fermentation as expressed by Ferd (1974) who reported that the pH level could affect the specific microbial population and most of pathogenic organisms grew at pH of 7 or slightly higher.

Production performance: The body weight showed a significant difference from 2 to 6 weeks of age between treatment groups. Panchagavya, probiotic and MOS fed groups recorded significantly (P<0.05) higher body weight than virginiamycin and control groups at 6 weeks of age. This is in agreement with the report of Jin *et al.* (1998), Kralik *et al.* (2004) and Karaoglu and Durdag (2005) who observed that supplementation of *Lactobacillus* strain significantly increased the body weight compared to control birds. This might be due to more efficient utilization of energy and amino acids (Penkov and Hristova, 2004). On the contrary, Parks *et al.* (2001) and Flemming *et al.* (2004) observed no

Parameters	T ₁ - Basal diet	T ₂ - Basal diet+	T ₃ - Basal diet+	T ₄ - Basal diet+	T₅ - Basal diet+	T ₆ - Basal diet+ MOS	
		Virginiamycin	Panchagavya	A. paniculata	Probiotics		
A. Feed consu	mption (g/bird)						
week 119.67±2.40		126.50±3.44	117.57±3.67	113.80±0.55	122.03±2.36	124.30±5.60	
II week	431.36±11.53	452.73±3.63	431.30±10.04	414.00±0.15	448.60±8.00	448.90±14.50	
III week	932.28±29.62	958.00±14.85	926.33±7.21	908.00±3.93	949.37±14.17	958.83±17.65	
IV week	1696.37±23.04	1739.63±12.94	1716.33±4.71	1642.97±6.22	1723.20±8.13	1755.10±20.71	
V week	2576.11±63.14	2563.70±28.52	2575.50±11.35	2505.07±10.35	2620.97±27.02	2608.63±20.54	
VI week	3555.97±109.93	3506.63±51.03	3511.73±16.62	3427.30±28.23	3611.37±56.81	3555.47±54.12	
B. Feed conve	ersion ratio						
l week	1.09±0.02	1.10±0.02	1.08±0.03	1.09±0.02	1.06±0.04	1.12±0.03	
II week	1.49±0.08	1.49±0.04	1.47±0.02	1.52±0.03	1.51±0.02	1.46±0.03	
III week	1.54±0.05	1.64±0.07	1.58±0.04	1.67±0.01	1.58±0.04	1.56±0.04	
IV week	1.68±0.03	1.79±0.06	1.66±0.02	1.71±0.02	1.68±0.03	1.71±0.02	
V week	1.89 ^{ab} ±0.02	1.92 ^b ±0.04	1.81 ^a ±0.02	1.84 ^a ±0.02	1.84 ^a ±0.02	1.81 ^a ±0.02	
VI week	2.04 ^b ±0.06	2.04 ^b ±0.05	1.90 ^a ±0.02	1.87 ^a ±0.02	1.94 ^{ab} ±0.02	1.90 ^a ±0.02	

Table 2:	Mean (± S.E.)	feed consumption	(g/bird)	and feed	conversion	ratio d	of broilers	as	influenced	by	dietary	inclusion	of	dietary
	inclusion of diffe	erent alternatives to a	antibiotic	growth pre	omoter									

Value in each cell is the mean of three observations. ^{a, b} Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (P<0.05)

significant difference in body weight between antibiotics and MOS fed turkeys and broilers, respectively.

Feeding of *A. paniculata* significantly (P<0.05) improved the body weight as compared to virginiamycin fed birds at 6 weeks of age, which is contrary to the finding of Sapcota *et al.* (2005) who concluded that feeding of *A. paniculata* in induced aflatoxicosis increased the body weight on dose dependent ratio in broilers at 6 weeks of age.

The body weight gain also significantly varied between treatment groups from 1 to 6 weeks of age. Panchagavya, probiotics and MOS fed groups recorded significantly (P<0.05) better body weight gain at 6 weeks of age as compared to virginiamycin and control. Similar observation was made by Kabir et al. (2004), Djouvinov et al. (2005) and Karaoglu and Durdag (2005) who observed better body weight gain in probiotics fed group than control. On the contrary, Gunal et al. (2006) recorded no beneficial effect on live weight gain of broilers fed with antibiotic growth promoter and probiotics in diet. Feeding of A. paniculata did not improve the body weight gain compared to control group. This finding is in agreement with earlier report of Tipakorn (2002) who observed no significant difference in average daily weight gain among A. paniculata and control groups. The increased body weight gain in panchagavya and probiotics fed groups might be due to the presence of higher concentration of beneficial organisms, which may reduced the pH, viscosity and intestinal thickness favouring better utilization of nutrients by the broilers.

Feed consumption did not vary between treatment groups from 1 to 6 weeks of age. However, Garg *et al.* (2005) reported that feeding of cow urine increased the feed intake in White Leghorn layers and Sapcota *et al.* (2005) found that feeding of *A. paniculata* increased the feed intake on dose dependent pattern in broilers.

The FCR was significantly (P<0.05) better in T_3 , T_4 and T_6

as compared to control group at 6 weeks of age. This finding is in agreement with Jin et al. (1998); Guclu (2003); Garg et al. (2005) and Sapcota et al. (2005) who reported that feeding of Lactobacillus, Bio-Mos, cow urine and A. paniculata, respectively had significantly improved the FCR in chickens. Feeding of probiotic did not influence the FCR compared to other treatments at 6 weeks of age. Similar report was also made by Zulkifli et al. (2000) who observed that the better FCR was not extended to the finishing period in broilers fed Lactobacillus culture. On the contrary, Jin et al. (2000) and Kalavathy et al. (2003) concluded that supplementation of the diet with probiotics significantly improved the FCR in chicken. The better FCR in panchagavya, A. paniculata and MOS fed groups might be due to better utilization of nutrients by reduced pH, viscosity and intestine thickness in broilers.

The livability was not significantly different between treatment groups. Similar observations were made for antibiotic growth promoters (Salmon and Stevens, 1990 and Sreenivas and Devegowda, 1994), probiotics (Karaoglu and Durdag, 2005) and MOS (Parks *et al.*, 2001 and Flemming *et al.*, 2004) in chicken. However, better livability was obtained by Tipakorn (2002), Pelicano *et al.* (2004) and Djouvinov *et al.* (2005) by supplementation of *A. paniculata*, MOS, antibiotic growth promoter and probiotics, respectively in broilers.

Carcass characteristics: The carcass characteristics viz. pre-slaughter weight, New York dressed carcass, eviscerated carcass and ready-to-cook carcass percentage to live weight did not vary significantly between treatment groups. Similarly, feeding of *A. paniculata* (Tipakorn, 2002) and Bio-Mos (Guclu, 2003) to broilers did not significantly affect the carcass characteristics of broilers and Japanese quails, respectively compared to antibiotic growth promoter and control. On the contrary, Sreenivas and Devegowda

Parameters T ₁ - Basal diet		T ₂ - Basal diet+ Virginiamycin	T₃ - Basal diet+ Panchagavya	T₄-Basal diet+ A. paniculata	T₅- Basal diet+ Probiotics	T ₆ - Basal diet+ MOS	
A. Carcass characte	eristics						
Pre-slaughter							
live weight (g)	1729.83±136.31	1716.67±57.56	1817.50±103.47	1872.83±72.03	1761.50±76.41	1781.67±69.17	
New York dressed							
percentage	91.60±0.51	91.64±0.55	91.73±0.38	92.35±0.25	90.36±0.83	91.44±0.37	
Eviscerated							
percentage	70.78±0.86	69.55±0.87	70.21±0.69	70.88±0.46	70.27±0.73	69.99±1.31	
Ready-to-cook							
percentage	76.38±0.81	75.85±0.92	75.78±0.75	76.45±0.52	76.13±0.70	75.84±1.32	
B. Cut-up							
parts (%)							
Breast	26.36±0.71	24.92±0.65	26.19±0.56	26.28±0.24	26.78±0.84	24.80±0.35	
Leg	27.42±0.56	28.02±0.72	28.24±0.46	28.35±0.40	27.17±0.71	29.32±0.58	
Back	23.20±0.54	22.86±0.46	23.45±0.34	23.19±0.72	23.86±0.31	22.70±0.26	
Wing	11.21±0.24	10.94±0.28	10.29±0.21	10.51±0.22	10.44±0.32	10.63±0.32	
Neck	6.21±0.24	6.96±0.17	6.26±0.34	6.10±0.48	5.89±0.35	6.70±0.33	
Giblets	5.60±0.23	6.30±0.24	5.57±0.32	5.57±0.31	5.86±0.23	5.85±0.22	
C. Sensory qualities	s of meat						
Appearance	7.00±0.36	6.17±0.60	5.83±0.50	6.33±0.42	6.83±0.65	6.00±0.57	
Flavour	6.17±0.30	5.83±0.47	5.67±0.49	6.33±0.42	6.33±0.49	5.67±0.55	
Juiciness	6.33±0.42	6.00±0.57	6.17±0.47	6.33±0.42	6.50±0.50	6.33±0.61	
Tenderness	6.50±0.42	6.00±0.57	6.17±0.60	6.33±0.42	6.50±0.61	6.33±0.61	
Overall							
acceptability	6.67±0.33	6.17±0.54	6.17±0.47	6.33±0.42	6.83±0.65	6.50±0.58	
Flavour Juiciness Tenderness Overall acceptability	6.17±0.30 6.33±0.42 6.50±0.42 6.67±0.33	5.83±0.47 6.00±0.57 6.00±0.57 6.17±0.54	5.67±0.49 6.17±0.47 6.17±0.60 6.17±0.47	6.33±0.42 6.33±0.42 6.33±0.42 6.33±0.42	6.33±0.49 6.50±0.50 6.50±0.61 6.83±0.65	5.67±0.55 6.33±0.61 6.33±0.61 6.50±0.58	

Table 3: Mean (± S.E.) Carcass characteristics, per cent cut-up parts and meat sensory qualities of broilers as influenced by dietary inclusion of dietary inclusion of different alternatives to antibiotic growth promoter

Value in each cell is the mean of six observations

(1994) recorded significantly better dressing percentages in broilers raised on virginiamycin supplemented diet at 6 weeks of age. Similarly, Kalavathy *et al.* (2003) and Kabir *et al.* (2004) also got similar result for probiotic feeding in broilers.

The results on cut-up parts revealed no significant difference between different treatments. Similarly, Pelicano *et al.* (2004) also reported that the cut-up parts yield was not significantly affected by the inclusion of probiotics. However, Kabir *et al.* (2004) observed feeding of probiotics increased the breast yield compared to control.

The sensory qualities like appearance, flavour, juiciness, tenderness and overall acceptability of broiler meat did not differ between treatment groups even though *A. paniculata* was considered as king of bitters (Ajaya Kumar *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, Nagalakshmi *et al.* (1996) reported that incorporation of neem kernel cake did not impart any untoward taste in pressure cooked broiler meat.

The results suggested that panchagavya and *A.* paniculata can be used as alternatives to antibiotic growth promoter in broiler diet. The production and carcass parameters of using alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters like panchagavya, *A. paniculata*, probiotics and MOS in feed was better than virginiamycin. However, further works need to be carried out in challenged conditions.

Acknowledgements

The works described here forms part of the Ph.D., thesis submitted by the first author to the Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai, India. The financial support by the Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, facilities provided by the Dean, Veterinary College and Research Institute, Namakkal, India and material supply (Bio-Mos) by the Alltech Inc., Bangalore, India are duly acknowledged.

References

- Achliya, G.S., N.R. Kotagale, S.G. Wadodkar and A.K. Dorle, 2003. Hepatoprotective activity of panchagavya ghrita against carbontetrachloride induced hepatotoxicity in rats. Ind. J. Pharmacol., 35: 308-311.
- Ajaya Kumar, R., K. Sridevi, N. Vijaya Kumar, S. Nanduri and S. Rajagopal, 2004. Anticancer and immunostimulatory compounds from *Andrographis paniculata*. J. Ethnopharmacol., 92: 291-295.
- Bedford, M., 2000. Removal of antibiotic growth promoters from poultry diet: Implications and strategies to minimise subsequent problems. World's Poul. Sci. J., 56: 342-354.
- Bhadauria, H., 2002. Cow urine A magical therapy. *Vishwa Ayurveda Parishad*. 71-74. Cited in Int. J. Cow Sci., 1: 32-36.
- B.I.S., 1992. Nutrient requirement for poultry. Bureau of Indian Standards, I.S., 13574: 1992.

- Chopra, R.N., S.L. Nayar and I.C. Chopra, 1992. Glossary of Indian medicinal plants. 3rd ed. CSIR, New Delhi, pp: 18.
- Dhama, K., R.S. Chauhan and L. Singhal, 2005. Anticancer activity of cow urine: Current status and future directions. Int. J. Cow Sci., 1: 1-25.
- Djouvinov, D., S. Boicheva, T. Simeonova and T. Vlaikova 2005. Effect of feeding Lactina® probiotic on performance, some blood parameters and caecal microflora of mule ducklings. Trakia J. Sci., 3: 22-28.
- Ferd, D.J., 1974. The effect of microflora on gastrointestinal pH in the chick. Poult. Sci., 53: 115-131.
- Flemming, J.S., J.R.S. Freitas, P. Fontoura, R. Montanhini Neto and J.S. Arruda, 2004. Use of mannanoligosaccharides in broiler feeding. Braz. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 159-161.
- Fulzele, S.V., P.M. Satturwar, S.B. Joshi and A.K. Dorle, 2003. Study of immunomodulatory activity of *Haridradi Ghrita* in rats. Ind. J. Pharmacol., 35: 51-54.
- Garg, N., Ashok Kumar and R.S. Chauhan, 2005. Effect of indigenous cow urine on nutrient utilization of White Leghorn layers. Int. J. Cow Sci., 1: 36-38.
- Guclu, B.K., 2003. The effect of mannanoligosaccharides on fattening performance of quails. Ind. Vet. J., 80: 1018-1021.
- Gunal, M., G. Yayli, O. Kaya, N. Karahan and O. Sulak, 2006. The effects of antibiotic growth promoter, probiotic or organic acid supplementation on performance, intestinal microflora and tissue of broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 5: 149-155.
- Hernandez, F., J. Madrid, V. Gargia, J. Orengo and M.D. Megias, 2004. Influence of two plant extracts on broiler performance, digestibility and digestive organ size. Poult. Sci., 83: 169-174.
- Jin, L.Z., Y.W. Ho, N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin, 1998. Growth performance, intestinal microbial populations and serum cholesterol of broilers fed diets containing *Lactobacillus* cultures. Poult. Sci., 77: 1259-1265.
- Jin, L.Z., Y.W. Ho, N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin, 2000. Digestive and bacterial enzyme activities in broilers fed diets supplemented with *Lactobacillus* cultures. Poult. Sci., 79: 886-891.
- Kabir, S.M.L., M.M. Rahman, M.B. Rahman and S.U. Ahmed, 2004. The dynamics of probiotics on growth performance and immune response in broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 3: 361-364.
- Kalavathy, R., N. Abdullah, S. Jalaludin and Y.W. Ho, 2003. Effects of *Lactobacillus* cultures on growth performance, abdominal fat deposition, serum lipids and weight of organs of broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci., 44: 139-144.

- Karaoglu, M. and H. Durdag, 2005. The influence of dietary probiotic (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) supplementation and different slaughter age on the performance, slaughter and carcass properties of broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 4: 309-316.
- Khanuja, S.P.S., 2002. Pharmaceutical composition containing cow urine distillate and an antibiotic. US Patent 6,410,059. June 25, 2002. Cited in www.patft.uspto.gov.
- Kralik, G., Z. Milakovic and S. Ivankovic, 2004. Effect of probiotic supplementation on the performance and the composition of the intestinal microflora in broilers. Acta Agraria Kaposvariensis, 8: 23-31.
- Nagalakshmi, D., V.R.S. Sastry, D.K. Agarwal, R.C. Katiyar and S.V.S. Verma, 1996. Performance of broiler chicks fed alkali-treated neem (*Azadirachta indica*) kernel cake as a protein supplement. Br. Poult. Sci., 37: 809-818.
- Natarajan, K., 2003. Panchgavya: A manual. Other India Press, Goa.
- Parks, C.W., J.L. Grimes, P.R. Ferket and A.S. Fairchild, 2001. The effect of mannanoligosaccharides, bambermycins and virginiamycin on performance of Large White male market turkeys. Poult. Sci., 80: 718-723.
- Pelicano, E.R.L., P.A. De Souza, H.B.A. De Souza, F.R. Leonel, N.M.B.L. Zeola and M.M. Boiago, 2004. Productive traits of broiler chickens fed diets containing different growth promoters. Braz. J. Poult. Sci., 6: 177-182.
- Penkov, D. and T. Hristova, 2004. Investigation of the influence of probiotic Lactina® on energy utilization and true amino acid digestibility of compound feeds in experiments on Muskovy ducklings. Scientific publications, XLIX, 29-36, Agrarian University, Plovdiv, Bulgarian. Cited in Trakia J. Sci., 3: 22-28.
- Salmon, R.E. and V.I. Stevens, 1990. Response of Large White turkeys to virginiamycin from day-old to slaughter. Poult. Sci., 69: 1383-1387.
- Sapcota, D., R. Islam and A.K. Medhi, 2005. Efficacy of *Andrographis paniculata* in ameliorating aflatoxicosis in broilers. Ind. Vet. J., 82: 529-532.
- Shashidhara, R.G. and G. Devegowda, 2003. Effect of dietary mannan-oligosaccharide on broiler breeder production traits and immunity. Poult. Sci., 82: 1319-1325.
- Smits, C.H.M., A. Veldman., H.J. Verkade and A.C. Beynen, 1998. The inhibitory effect of carboxymethylcellulose with high viscosity on lipid absorption in broiler chickens coincides with reduced bile salt concentration and raised microbial numbers in the small intestine. Poult. Sci., 77: 1534-1539.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran, 1989. Statistical methods. 8th ed., Iowa State University Press/Ames, Iowa-50010.

- Somasundaram, E., 2003. Evaluation of organic sources of nutrients and panchagavya spray on the growth and sustainable productivity of maizesunflower-greengram system. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
- Spring, P., C. Wenk, K.A. Dawson and K.E. Newman, 2000. The effects of dietary mannanoligosaccharides on cecal parameters and the concentrations of enteric bacteria in the ceca of salmonella-challenged broiler chicks. Poult. Sci., 79: 205-211.
- Sreenivas, P.T. and G. Devegowda, 1994. Influence of virginiamycin with varying levels of energy and protein on broiler performance. Ind. J. Poult. Sci., 29: 245-248.
- Subramaniam, V., 2003. A study on the role of panchagavya on the growth and disease control in *Solanum melongena* Linn. M.Phil. Dissertation submitted to A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College, Poondi, Thanjavur Dt, Tamil Nadu, India.

- Tipakorn, N., 2002. Effects of *Andrographis paniculata* (Burm. F.) Nees on performance, mortality and coccidiosis in broiler chickens. Doctoral Dissertation, submitted to Institute of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. Georg-August-University, Gottingen, Germany.
- Wray, C. and R.H. Davies, 2000. Competitive exclusion An alternative to antibiotics. Vet. J., 59: 107-108.
- Zulkifli, I., N. Abdullah, N. Mohd. Azrin and Y.W. Ho, 2000. Growth performance and immune response of two commercial broiler strains fed diets containing *Lactobacillus* cultures and oxytetracycline under heat stress conditions. Br. Poult. Sci., 41: 593-597.